

CITY OF NEW YORK
OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE TRIALS AND HEARINGS
TRIALS DIVISION

-----x
OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE TRIALS AND
HEARINGS

AMENDED PETITION

Petitioner,

- against -

OATH
Index No.: 191903

RIZWAN RAJA

Respondent.

-----x

1. As an individual registered to appear as a non-attorney representative before the Hearings Division at the Office of Administrative Trials and Hearings (OATH), Rizwan Raja (Respondent) is subject to discipline under OATH's rules for registered representatives; specifically 48 RCNY § 6-23 (Registered Representatives) and 48 RCNY § 6-25 (Misconduct).
2. Respondent certified on the Representative Registration Form, dated September 26, 2017 and October 10, 2018, that he reviewed and understood the requirements of 48 RCNY § 6-23 and 48 RCNY § 6-25. Respondent agreed to comply with all rules and regulations pertaining to his representation of respondents at OATH and certified that he understood that failure to do so could result in suspension or revocation of his registration and the right to appear at OATH. Copies of Respondent's 2017 and 2018 registration forms are attached hereto as Exhibits 1 and 2.
3. This Petition serves as formal notice of OATH's charge that Respondent has committed misconduct in violation of OATH rules, 48 RCNY § 6-23(d), and 48 RCNY § 6-25(a)(2) and engaged in a pattern of conduct that evinces a lack of honesty and integrity and adversely affects Respondent's practice before the Tribunal as set forth in 48 RCNY § 6-25(d)(1). Respondent is accused of the following:
4. Misrepresenting his qualifications so as to mislead an OATH Respondent into believing Respondent is an attorney, in violation of 48 RCNY § 6-23(d).
5. Appropriating money under false pretenses, which evinces a lack of honesty and integrity that adversely affects Respondent's practice before the Tribunal, in violation of 48 RCNY § 6-25(d)(1).

6. Engaging in disruptive verbal conduct that a reasonable person would believe shows contempt or disrespect for the proceedings or that a reasonable person would believe to be intimidating, in violation of 48 RCNY § 6-25(a)(2).
7. Showing contempt and disrespect for the proceedings at the Tribunal in violation of 48 RCNY § 6-25(a)(2) and 48 RCNY § 6-25(d)(1) by asserting that a person connected to the Tribunal was accepting bribes to do as he was bidden.
8. Engaging in a disruptive action that a reasonable person would believe shows contempt for the proceedings and that a reasonable person would believe to be intimidating in violation of 48 RCNY § 6-25(a)(2).

Specifically, the conduct alleged is as follows:

Misrepresentation as an Attorney

9. On or about November 16, 2016, Respondent falsely stated to Mohammed Siddiquee that Respondent was an attorney. Mr. Siddiquee's affirmation describing the incident is annexed hereto as Exhibit 3. That day, Mr. Siddiquee went to the OATH Long Island City location (OATH LIC) to file an appeal for previously sustained Summons No. CV10071948C (Summons 48C). While in the waiting area of OATH, Mr. Siddiquee saw Respondent speaking to other OATH Respondents. Mr. Siddiquee approached him to discuss his appeal. Respondent then stated that Respondent was an attorney who could handle the appeal for \$100. Mr. Siddiquee agreed to pay Respondent \$100 to file the appeal. Respondent is not an attorney, and this action violates 48 RCNY § 6-23(d). By falsely asserting that Respondent was an attorney, Respondent evinced a lack of honesty and integrity that adversely affects Respondent's practice before the Tribunal, in violation of 48 RCNY §6-25(d)(1).

Appropriated Money Under False Pretenses

10. Despite Mr. Siddiquee's payment to Respondent to file an appeal regarding Summons 48C, Respondent neither filed the appeal nor returned the \$100 fee that was paid to file the appeal. Respondent's conduct evinces a lack of honesty and integrity that adversely affects Respondent's practice before the Tribunal, in violation of RCNY 48 §6-25(d)(1). See Exhibit 3.
11. On or about May 1, 2017, Respondent met with Saiful Alam at OATH LIC. Mr. Alam paid Respondent \$150 to file an appeal to overturn a Hearing Officer's decision for Summons No. EA 72080622A (Summons 622A). Respondent neither filed the appeal nor returned the \$150 fee that was paid to file the appeal. Respondent's conduct evinces a lack of honesty and integrity that adversely affects Respondent's practice before the

Tribunal, in violation of RCNY 48 §6-25(d)(1). See Exhibit 4.

12. On or about December 5, 2017, Respondent met with Joseph Kwaku Adu at OATH LIC. Mr. Adu paid Respondent \$100 to file an appeal to overturn a Hearing Officer's decision for Summons No. RL0012039 (Summons 039). On January 18, 2018, the appeal still had not been filed. On January 18, 2018, Mr. Adu confronted the Respondent about the Respondent's failure to file the appeal. Respondent then returned the \$100 fee. Respondent's failure to timely file an appeal after agreeing to do so and accepting payment evinces a lack of honesty and integrity that adversely affects Respondent's practice before the Tribunal, in violation of RCNY 48 §6-25(d)(1). See Exhibit 5.
13. On August 30, 2018, at OATH LIC, MD Hossain, a TLC licensee, sought representation from Respondent for an appeal of a TLC decision revoking Mr. Hossain's license. Respondent told Mr. Hossain that representation would cost \$500 and would require that Respondent pay a bribe to someone connected to the proceedings at the Tribunal. Mr. Hossain paid Respondent \$300 in cash. Respondent failed to file an appeal on behalf of Mr. Hossain and never returned Mr. Hossain's \$200. Respondent's failure to timely file an appeal after agreeing to do so and accepting payment evinces a lack of honesty and integrity that adversely affects Respondent's practice before the Tribunal, in violation of RCNY 48 §6-25(d)(1). See Exhibit 7.

Engaging in Disruptive Verbal Conduct on OATH premises

14. On August 14, 2018, at OATH LIC, when Respondent attempted to file an Authorized Representative to Appear Form, an OATH clerk told him the form would be rejected because it did not bear an original signature from the OATH respondent. Respondent replied, "I'll have someone else do it; give me back my form." As Respondent turned to leave the counter, Respondent stated "bitch-ass." This term was pronounced within earshot of the clerk, other respondents, security staff, and Felix Ortiz, a Senior Procedural Justice Coordinator Supervisor. Mr. Ortiz heard and confronted Respondent about the offensive language. Respondent acknowledged the language was inappropriate and stated "I was frustrated by the clerk." Respondent's conduct violated 48 RCNY §6-25(a)(2). Mr. Ortiz's incident report is annexed hereto as Exhibit 6.

Showing Contempt and Disrespect for the Proceedings

15. On August 30, 2018, at OATH LIC, Mr. Hossain, a TLC licensee, sought representation from Respondent in the appeal of a TLC decision revoking Mr. Hossain's license. Respondent told Mr. Hossain that representation would cost \$500 and would require that Respondent pay a bribe to a TLC employee connected to the proceedings at the Tribunal. Mr. Hossain paid Respondent \$300 in cash. After receiving the payment, Respondent began eluding him and refused to accept the \$200 balance. On September 7, 2018 Mr. Hossain came back to OATH and asked Respondent about the revocation. Respondent neither explained the necessity for the delay, nor the reason why he would not accept the

\$200 balance. Upon advice from the Help Center, Hossain approached TLC directly about his license revocation. TLC offered to settle the matter for \$1675.00 in lieu of revocation, and, on September 11, 2018, reduced the fine to \$1125.00.

16. On September 11, 2018, Mr. Hossain sought a refund of his \$300 from Respondent after settling the case on his own, and Respondent did not complete any work on the matter. Respondent told Mr. Hossain to return after Mr. Hossain made the first installment payment of the TLC settlement. On October 3, 2018, Mr. Hossain returned to TLC to make the first payment on the outstanding penalty and found Respondent in the waiting area. Respondent invited Mr. Hossain to Respondent's office. In response to Mr. Hossain's request for refund of his \$200, Respondent told Mr. Hossain that Respondent had used the money to bribe the person Respondent had told him Respondent would bribe. Respondent's insistence that he could resolve an OATH proceeding by way of bribery as a ruse to avoid paying the debt, shows contempt and disrespect for the proceedings at the Tribunal and constitutes misconduct in violation of 48 RCNY § 6-25(a)(2) and 48 RCNY § 6-25(d)(1). See Exhibit 7.

Engaging in a Disruptive Action that a Reasonable Person Would Believe Shows Contempt for the Proceedings and That a Reasonable Person Would Believe to be Intimidating in Violation

17. On October 3, 2018, the Respondent threatened to have Mr. Hossain's license revoked when Mr. Hossain demanded a refund of money paid for services never performed. The Respondent's threat was a disruptive verbal conduct that showed contempt for the proceeding and that a reasonable person would believe to be intimidating. The Respondent's threat constituted misconduct in violation of 48 RCNY § 6-25(a)(2). See Exhibit 7.

WHEREFORE, Petitioner seeks the following findings and recommendations:

- A. That Respondent's failure to abide by the OATH rules constitutes misconduct and subjects him to permanent bar from appearing before OATH pursuant to Rules 6-25(c) and (d)(1).
- B. That Respondent's pattern of behavior evinces a lack of honesty and integrity under Rule 6-25(d) and that this lack of honesty and integrity adversely affects his practice before OATH subjecting him to permanent bar from appearing before OATH pursuant to Rules 6-25(c) and (d)(1).

Dated: March 22, 2019

By: Timothy R. Jones
Attorney for Petitioner
City of New York
Office of Administrative Trials and Hearings
100 Church Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10007
(212) 933-3038

OATH Index No. 191903

CITY OF NEW YORK
OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE TRIALS AND
HEARINGS

OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE TRIALS AND
HEARINGS

Petitioner,
- against -

Rizwan Raja

Respondent.

PETITION

By Timothy R. Jones
Attorney for Petitioner
City of New York
Office of Administrative Trials and Hearings
100 Church Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10007
(212) 933-3038

Due and timely service is hereby admitted.

New York, N.Y. March 28, 2019
Timothy R. Jones. Esq.
Attorney for Petitioner